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The efficient synthesis of highly functionalized cyclopentane rings remains an important challenge in organic chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ Among the reported methods, $[3+2]$ cycloaddition has the advantage of forming multiple bonds although issues of chemo-, regio-, diastereo-, and enantioselectivity must be resolved if the process is to achieve useful generality. Transition metalcatalyzed, ${ }^{2}$ anionic, ${ }^{3}$ cationic, ${ }^{4}$ and free radical mediated ${ }^{5}[3+$ 2] cycloadditions have been investigated. Recently, an important finding by Lu's group shows that phosphines can catalyze a $[3+2]$ annulation reaction. ${ }^{6}$ This novel $[3+2]$ approach involves cycloaddition of electron-deficient olefins with simple 2,3-butadienoates as the three-carbon source. Inspired by this elegant work, herein we report the first asymmetric version of this reaction with new chiral monophosphines, 2,5-dialkyl-7-phenyl-7-phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptanes, as catalysts.

Several chiral monophosphines have been reported in the literature. ${ }^{7}$ Most applications of these phosphines were in formation of asymmetric catalysts with transition metals. ${ }^{7}$ Some chiral phosphines have also been used directly as catalysts for asymmetric reactions. ${ }^{8}$ Our new chiral phosphines contain a rigid phosphabicyclic structure (Figure 2). The rigid, fused bicyclic [2.2.1] structure eliminates the conformational flexibility associated with the five-membered rings in other chiral phosphines (e.g., Duphos and BPE ligands ${ }^{9}$ ) and represents a new motif for chiral ligand design.

The syntheses of chiral monophosphines $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ are shown in Figure 2. Halterman ${ }^{10}$ and Vollhardt ${ }^{11}$ have previously prepared chiral cyclopentadiene derivatives from the chiral diols. Halterman ${ }^{10}$ has synthesized chiral diols 1 and 2 via Birch reduction ${ }^{12}$ followed by asymmetric hydroboration. ${ }^{13}$ Conversion of the optically pure diols to the corresponding mesylates proceeded cleanly. Nucleophilic addition of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}$ to the chiral dimesylates $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ generated the corresponding bicyclic phosphines, which were trapped by $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot$ THF to form the air-
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Figure 1.


Figure 2. Synthesis of chiral monophosphines.


Figure 3.
stable boron-protected monophosphines 5 and 6, respectively. Deprotection with a strong acid ${ }^{14}$ produced the desired products (7, ( $1 R, 2 S, 4 R, 5 S$ )-(+)-2,5-dimethyl-7-phenyl-7-phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane; $\mathbf{8},(1 R, 2 R, 4 R, 5 R)-(+)$-2,5-diisopropyl-7-phenyl-7-phosphabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane) in high yields.

We performed the asymmetric $[3+2]$ annulation reaction ${ }^{15}$ with several known chiral phosphines as catalysts in addition to 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ (Figure 3). Table 1 lists the results under different sets of conditions and with various substrates. Some general characteristics ${ }^{6}$ of this reaction include the following: (1) two regioisomers $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are formed, but isomer $\mathbf{A}$ generally is preferred (Figure 1); (2) the geometry of the starting electrondeficient olefins remains unchanged in the cycloaddition reaction.

We screened the asymmetric reaction with the chiral phosphines by mixing ethyl 2,3-butadienoate and ethyl acrylate in benzene with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of phosphine at room temperature (entries $1-5$ ). New phosphines $\mathbf{7 - 8}$ are more effective in terms of both regioselectivity ( $\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{B}$ ) and enantioselectivity (\% ee of A) than known phosphines $9-11$. The absolute configuration of product $\mathbf{A}$ (entries 1-5) was assigned by correlation with $(1 R, 3 R)$-dihydroxymethyl-3-cyclopentane. ${ }^{16}$ In particular, the enantioselectivity is much higher with 7 ( $81 \%$ ee, $R$, entry 1 ) than with 10 ( $6 \%$ ee, $S$, entry 4), which illustrates the consequences of using a rigid bicyclic [2.2.1] structure rather than the conformationally more flexible five-membered ring. Changing the size of the ester group in the electron-deficient olefin alters the enantioselectivity. With phosphine 7, the enantioselectivity increases as the size of the ester increases (entry $1, \mathrm{Et}, 81 \%$ ee; entry $6,{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Bu}, 86 \%$ ee; entry $7,{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, 89 \%$

[^1]Table 1. Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric [3+2] Cycloaddition ${ }^{a}$

| entry | phosphine | E | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | solvent | $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{e}$ | yield (\%) | $\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{B}^{\text {b }}$ | $\%$ ee of $\mathbf{A}^{\text {b }}$ | config $^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | COOEt | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 66 | 95:5 | 81 | $(-) R$ |
| 2 | 8 | COOEt | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 76 | 97:3 | 81 | (-) $R$ |
| 3 | 9 | COOEt | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 80 | 80:20 | 56 | (+) $S$ |
| 4 | 10 | COOEt | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 83 | 72:29 | 6 | (+) $S$ |
| 5 | 11 | COOEt | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 33 | 73:27 | 12 | (-) $R$ |
| 6 | 7 | $\mathrm{COO}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 46 | 100:0 | 86 | (-) $R$ |
| 7 | 7 | COO'Bu | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 69 | 95:5 | 89 | (-) $R$ |
| 8 | 7 | COO'Bu | Et | H | H | toluene | 0 | 42 | 97:3 | 93 | (-) $R$ |
| 9 | 8 | COOMe | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 87 | 96:4 | 79 | (-) $R$ |
| 10 | 8 | $\mathrm{COO}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 92 | 100:0 | 88 | (-) $R$ |
| 11 | 8 |  | Et | H | H | toluene | 0 | 88 | 100:0 | 93 | (-) $R$ |
| 12 | 8 | $\mathrm{COO}^{\text {'Bu }}$ | Et | H | H | benzene | rt | 75 | 95:5 | 88 | (-) R |
| 13 | 7 | COOEt | ${ }^{\text {'Bu }}$ | H | H | benzene | rt | 13 | 97:3 | 89 | (-) $R$ |
| 14 | 8 | COOEt | ${ }^{\text {Bu }}$ | H | H | benzene | rt | 84 | 94:6 | 69 | (-) $R$ |
| $15^{d}$ | 8 | COOEt | Et | COOEt | H | toluene | 0 | 49 |  | 79 | (+) |
| $16^{d}$ | 8 | COOMe | Et | H | COOMe | benzene | rt | 84 |  | 36 | (-) |

${ }^{a}$ The reaction was carried out under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with a chiral phosphine ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 2,3-butadienoate ( $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and electron deficient olefins (1000 $\operatorname{mol} \%) .{ }^{b} \mathbf{A}: \mathbf{B}$ and $\%$ ee were measured by GC with $\beta$ and $\gamma$-DEX columns. ${ }^{c}$ The absolute configuration was determined by comparing the optical rotation with the literature value. ${ }^{16}{ }^{d}$ Olefins ( $200 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were used. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{rt}=$ room temperature.

## Scheme 1


ee). A similar trend was observed with phosphine 8 (entries 2, $9-10$, and 12). Upon cooling the reaction to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene, up to $93 \%$ ee of A was obtained with phosphines $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ with excellent regioselectivity (entries 8 and 11). Increasing the size of the ester moiety in the 2,3-butadienoates, however, has different effects on the product ee with phosphine 7 (entry 1, Et, $81 \%$ ee; entry 13 , ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, 89 \%$ ee) or $\mathbf{8}$ (entry $2, \mathrm{Et}, 81 \%$ ee; entry $14,{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, 69 \%$ ee). A second major difference between catalysis by $\mathbf{7}$ or $\mathbf{8}$ is in the yield of products. The conversion to the desired products is generally higher with 8 than with 7 (e.g., entries 6-8 vs entries 9-12). With diethyl maleate (entry 15) and dimethyl fumarate (entry 16) as substrates, single cis and trans products were obtained with $\mathbf{8}$, respectively. While the $\%$ ee of the cis product (entry $15,79 \%$ ee) is slightly lower than the result with ethyl acrylate (entry $2,81 \%$ ee), the trans product has much lower optical purity (entry 16, 36\% ee). For two-atom species ${ }^{17}$ other than acrylates, we have studied acrylonitrile and methyl vinyl ketone as substrates. With ethyl 2,3-butadienoate as the three-atom species and 7 as the catalyst, $48 \%$ ee of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{B}(97 / 3)$ and $94 \%$ yield were obtained with acrylonitrile while $27 \%$ ee of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A} / \mathbf{B}(81 / 19)$ and $33 \%$ yield were achieved with methyl vinyl ketone.
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Figure 4.
A detailed mechanism of this reaction has not been rigorously proven. Scheme 1 shows Lu's proposed mechanism. ${ }^{6}$ A catalytic amount of the phosphine acts as a nucleophilic trigger. ${ }^{18}$ Formation of cyclic intermediates $\mathbf{1 4 A}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 B}$ is the key step for asymmetric induction. The stereochemistry of the starting $E$ and $Z$ olefins is preserved in the products, which provides suggestive evidence that this reaction proceeds through a concerted mechanism. ${ }^{19}$ Based on this model, we offer a mechanistic rationale for the high asymmetric induction with 7 and 8 (Figure 4). The R groups from 7 and 8 can effectively block the "bottom" face of the allylic carbanion 12/13, and this shielding forces the electron-deficient olefins to approach from the "top" face. The electron-withdrawing olefins approach with the endo orientation as shown in Figure 4. The $Z$ olefins (e.g., diethyl maleate) show a similar degree of selectivity as do the acrylates, while $E$ olefins (e.g., dimethyl fumarate) introduce large groups around the sterically crowded $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ center. It is possible that the lower enantioselectivity obtained with $E$ olefins is due to this disfavored interaction between COOEt and substituents of $E$ olefins.
In conclusion, we have developed a new family of chiral phosphines with a unique fused bicyclic [2.2.1] ring structure. A [ $3+2$ ] cycloaddition between 2,3-butadienoates and electrondeficient olefins catalyzed by these chiral monophosphines gives cyclopentene products with excellent regioselectivity and enantioselectivity. This method is a potentially powerful tool for the synthesis of chiral cyclopentanoids.
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